

---

**From:** Alan Block [mailto:BlockA@hbdlawyers.com]

**Sent:** Wednesday, August 24, 2005 11:17 AM

**To:** Alan Block; Roderick G. Dorman; Kevin Shenkman; jeffrey.sullivan@bakerbotts.com; john.spaccarotella@bakerbotts.com; michael.mcnamara@bakerbotts.com; Mitch Lukin; fbyers@buckeye-express.com; JTN@cpdb.com; rrp@cpdb.com; cfagan@faysharpe.com; lsaporo@foley.com; vdegyarfas@foley.com; wrobinson@foley.com; Todd Miller; ghecker@hh.com; jslominski@hh.com; ccwong@jonesday.com; ctartalone@jonesday.com; Kevin McBride; lalucca@jonesday.com; lnacani@jonesday.com; memullin@jonesday.com; mknelson@jonesday.com; sjcorr@jonesday.com; vgsavikas@jonesday.com; bhershkowitz@kenyon.com; Dan Jackson; Daralyn Durie; Matthias Kamber; dclausen@lrlaw.com; rcopple@lrlaw.com; sgarrison@lrlaw.com; David York; araymond@marshallip.com; blyerla@marshallip.com; gstanton@marshallip.com; jdean@marshallip.com; Kevin Hogg; mdelach@marshallip.com; mgreene@marshallip.com; aunderhill@merchant-gould.com; jreich@merchant-gould.com; rbortolotti@merchant-gould.com; JCrotty@mofo.com; RKrevans@mofo.com; abismonte@mount.com; dfingerman@mount.com; mschneider@patlaw.com; mkittredge@perkinscoie.com; ehaase@reedsmith.com; mjmeyers@reedsmith.com; mtovey@reedsmith.com; woverend@reedsmith.com; Alice M. Ribbens; Stephen P. Safranski; pwhalen@spencerfane.com; Cathy Dunbar; Jan Klohonatz; jschinske@tcolaw.com; nfreese@tcolaw.com; Stephen Taylor; Harold McElhinny; KKramer@mofo.com; MKreeger@mofo.com; Emmett J. McMahon; mchapman@kenyon.com

**Subject:** Joint Claim Construction Statement

Counsel --

Attached for your review and comments is a draft of the Joint Claim Construction Statement, due to be filed tomorrow. Please provide me with any proposed revisions to defendants' portions or provide me with your authorization to sign on your behalf.

Thank you for your cooperation.

Alan

<<HBDDOCS-#498560-v1-Patent\_Local\_Rule\_4-3\_Joint\_Statement.DOC>>



**Hennigan Bennett & Dorman<sup>LLP</sup>**

601 South Figueroa Street  
Suite 3300  
Los Angeles, California 90017  
Telephone: (213) 694-1200  
Facsimile: (213) 694-1234

---

This e-mail was sent by a law firm and may contain information that is privileged or confidential. If you are not the intended recipient, please delete the e-mail and any attachments and notify us immediately.  
Thank you.

---

COUNSEL LISTED ON SIGNATURE PAGES

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA – SAN JOSE DIVISION

In re

ACACIA MEDIA TECHNOLOGIES  
CORPORATION

Case No. C-05-01114 JW

**JOINT CLAIM CONSTRUCTION  
AND PREHEARING STATEMENT**

**[PATENT LOCAL RULE 4-3]**

Judge: Honorable James Ware

Pursuant to the Court's Order Following Case Management Conference, the parties to these actions hereby submit this Joint Claim Construction and Prehearing Statement in accordance with Patent Local Rule 4-3.

<u><b>Disputed Term</b></u>	<u><b>Plaintiff's Proposed Construction</b></u>	<u><b>Defendants' Proposed Construction</b></u>
<b>Transmission System</b>	<p><b>"an assembly of elements, hardware and software, that function together to convert items of information for storage in a computer compatible form and subsequent transmission to a reception system, the transmission system being located in one or more facilities."</b></p> <p>The intrinsic patent evidence on which Acacia shall rely to support this construction is set forth in Acacia's Motion for Reconsideration, filed on July 29, 2005.</p>	<p><b>"an assembly of elements, hardware and software, that function together to convert items of information for storage in a computer compatible form and subsequent transmission to a reception system."</b></p> <p>The intrinsic patent evidence on which defendants shall rely to support this construction is set forth in defendants' opposition to Acacia's Motion for Reconsideration, filed on August 25, 2005.</p>
<b>Transmission system at a first location</b>	<p><b>"a transmission system at one or more locations separate from the location of the reception system."</b></p> <p>The intrinsic patent evidence on which Acacia shall rely to support this construction is set forth in Acacia's Motion for Reconsideration, filed on July 29, 2005.</p>	<p><b>"a transmission system at one particular location separate from the location of the reception system."</b></p> <p>The intrinsic patent evidence on which defendants shall rely to support this construction is set forth in defendants' opposition to Acacia's Motion for Reconsideration, filed on August 25, 2005.</p>
<b>Reception system at a second location</b>	<p><b>"a reception system at one or more than one location separate from the transmission system."</b></p> <p>The intrinsic patent evidence on which Acacia shall rely to support this construction is set forth in Acacia's Motion for Reconsideration, filed on July 29, 2005.</p>	<p><b>"a reception system at one particular location separate from the transmission system."</b></p> <p>The intrinsic patent evidence on which defendants shall rely to support this construction is set forth in defendants' opposition to Acacia's Motion for Reconsideration, filed on August 25, 2005.</p>

<u><b>Disputed Term</b></u>	<u><b>Plaintiff's Proposed Construction</b></u>	<u><b>Defendants' Proposed Construction</b></u>
<b>Sequence encoder</b>	<p>In claims 1, 17, 18, and 32:  <b>"a time encoder."</b></p> <p>In claims 7 and 33:  <b>"a time encoder that transforms digital data blocks into a group of addressable data blocks."</b></p> <p>The intrinsic patent evidence and extrinsic expert testimony on which Acacia shall rely to support this construction is set forth in Acacia's Motion for Reconsideration, filed on July 29, 2005.</p>	<p><b>Indefinite in all claims.</b></p> <p>The intrinsic patent evidence and expert testimony on which defendants shall rely to support this construction is set forth in defendants' opposition to Acacia's Motion for Reconsideration, filed on August 25, 2005.</p>
<b>Identification encoder</b>	<p><b>"a structure that assigns a unique identification code."</b></p> <p>The intrinsic patent evidence and extrinsic expert testimony on which Acacia shall rely to support this construction is set forth in Acacia's Motion for Reconsideration, filed on July 29, 2005.</p>	<p><b>"a structure that assigns a unique identification code."</b></p> <p>The intrinsic patent evidence and expert testimony on which defendants shall rely to support this construction is set forth in defendants' opposition to Acacia's Motion for Reconsideration, filed on August 25, 2005.</p>
<b>In data communication with</b>	<p><b>"two or more devices connected while data is being transferred between the devices."</b></p> <p>The intrinsic patent evidence on which Acacia shall rely to support this construction is set forth in Acacia's Motion for Reconsideration, filed on July 29, 2005.</p>	<p><b>"one or more devices connected such that data is being transferred between the devices in real time."</b></p> <p>The intrinsic patent evidence on which defendants shall rely to support this construction is set forth in defendants' opposition to Acacia's Motion for Reconsideration, filed on August 25, 2005.</p>
<b>Remote Locations</b>	<p><b>"positions or sites distant in space from the transmission system."</b></p> <p>The intrinsic patent evidence on which Acacia shall rely to support this construction is set forth in Acacia's opposition to defendants' Motion for Reconsideration, filed on August 25, 2005.</p>	<p><b>"a location remote from the requesting site."</b></p> <p>The intrinsic patent evidence on which defendants shall rely to support this construction is set forth in defendants' Motion for Reconsideration, filed on July 29, 2005.</p>

<u>Disputed Term</u>	<u>Plaintiff's Proposed Construction</u>	<u>Defendants' Proposed Construction</u>
<b>Transceiver</b>	<p><b>“a singular device capable of both sending and receiving information”</b></p> <p>The intrinsic patent evidence on which Acacia shall rely to support this construction is set forth in Acacia’s opposition to defendants’ Motion for Reconsideration, filed on August 25, 2005.</p>	<p><b>“a singular device that interfaces with a single communication medium and that is capable of sending and receiving data over that communication medium.”</b></p> <p>The intrinsic patent evidence and expert testimony on which defendants shall rely to support this construction is set forth in defendants’ Motion for Reconsideration, filed on July 29, 2005.</p>

Dated: August , 2005

RODERICK G. DORMAN (CA SBN 96908)  
ALAN P. BLOCK (CA SBN 143783)  
KEVIN I. SHENKMAN (CA SBN 223315)  
HENNIGAN, BENNETT & DORMAN LLP  
601 South Figueroa Street, Suite 3300  
Los Angeles, CA 90017

By: \_\_\_\_\_

Attorneys for Plaintiff  
ACACIA MEDIA TECHNOLOGIES  
CORPORATION

1 Dated: August \_\_, 2005

VICTOR G. SAVIKAS (CA SBN 145658)  
KEVIN G. McBRIDE (CA SBN 195866)  
MARSHA E. MULLIN (CA SBN 93709)  
MARIA K. NELSON (CA SBN 155608)  
JONES DAY  
555 West Fifth Street, Suite 4600  
Los Angeles, California 90013-1025

6 By: \_\_\_\_\_  
Victor G. Savikas

7 Attorneys for Defendant  
8 THE DIRECTV GROUP, INC.

9  
10 Dated: August \_\_, 2005

HAROLD J. McELHINNY (CA SBN 66781)  
RACHEL KREVANS (CA SBN 116421)  
PAUL A. FRIEDMAN. (CA SBN 208920)  
JASON A. CROTTY (CA SBN 196036)  
MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP  
425 Market Street  
San Francisco, California 94105-2482

14 DAVID C. DOYLE (CA SBN 70690)  
MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP  
3811 Valley Centre Dr., Suite. 500  
San Diego, California 92130

18 By: \_\_\_\_\_  
Harold J. McElhinny

19 Attorneys for Defendants  
20 ECHOSTAR SATELLITE LLC and ECHOSTAR  
21 TECHNOLOGIES CORPORATION

1 Dated: August \_\_, 2005

DARALYN J. DURIE (CA SBN 169825)  
DAVID J. SILBERT (CA SBN 173128)  
KEKER & VAN NEST LLP  
710 Sansome Street  
San Francisco, California 94111-1704

5 By: \_\_\_\_\_  
6 David Silbert

7 Attorneys for Defendant  
8 COMCAST CABLE COMMUNICATIONS, LLC

9 Dated: August , 2005

ANNAMARIE A. DALEY (*pro hac vice*)  
TARA D. SUTTON (*pro hac vice*)  
STEPHEN P. SAFRANSKI (*pro hac vice*)  
ROBINS, KAPLAN, MILLER & CIRESI LLP  
2800 LaSalle Plaza, 800 LaSalle Avenue  
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402

12 RICHARD R. PATCH (CA SBN 88049)  
13 J. TIMOTHY NARDELL (CA SBN 184444)  
COBLENTZ, PATCH, DUFFY & BASS, LLP  
14 One Ferry Building, Suite 200  
San Francisco, California 94111-4213

17 By: \_\_\_\_\_  
18 Annamarie A. Daley

19 Attorneys for Defendants  
COXCOM, INC. and HOSPITALITY NETWORK,  
20 INC.



1 Dated: August , 2005

BRADFORD LYERLA (*pro hac vice* app. pending)  
KEVIN HOGG (*pro hac vice* app. pending)  
JEFFREY DEAN (*pro hac vice* app. pending)  
MARSHALL, GERSTEIN & BORUN LLP  
6300 Sears Tower  
233 South Wacker Drive  
Chicago, Illinois 60606-6357

MORGAN W. TOVEY (CA SBN 136242)  
WILLIAM R. OVEREND (CA SBN 180209)  
REED SMITH LLP  
Two Embarcadero Center, Suite 2000  
San Francisco, CA 94111

9 By: \_\_\_\_\_  
10 William R. Overend

11 Attorneys for Defendant  
12 CHARTER COMMUNICATIONS, INC., WIDE  
13 OPEN WEST, ARMSTRONG UTILITIES,  
14 MASSILON CABLE TV, INC., EAST  
15 CLEVELAND CABLE TV, MID-CONTINENT  
16 MEDIA, INC., CANNON VALLEY  
17 COMMUNICATIONS, US CABLE HOLDINGS,  
18 LP, ARVIG ENTERPRISES, SJOBERG CABLE,  
19 LORETEL SYSTEMS, INC., NPG CABLE, INC.

16 DATED: August \_\_\_\_, 2005

REBECCA ANNE BORTOLOTTI  
JOHN CHRITOPHER REICH  
ALBERT L. UNDERHILL  
MERCHANT & GOULD  
80 S. 8<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 3200  
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402

21 By \_\_\_\_\_  
22 Rebecca Anne Bortolotti

23 Attorneys for Defendants  
24 MID-CONTINENT MEDIA, INC., SAVAGE  
25 COMMUNICATIONS, INC., CANNON VALLEY  
26 COMMUNICATIONS, US CABLE HOLDINGS,  
27 LP, ARVIG ENTERPRISES, SJOBERG'S  
28 CABLE, LORETEL SYSTEMS, INC.,

26 DATED: August \_\_\_\_, 2005

JUANITA R. BROOKS  
TODD G. MILLER

1 FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.  
2 12390 El Camino Real  
3 San Diego, California 92130-2081

4 By \_\_\_\_\_  
5 Todd R. Miller

6 Attorneys for Defendants  
7 NEW DESTINY INTERNET GROUP, L.L.C.,  
8 AUDIO COMMUNICATIONS, INC., VS MEDIA,  
9 INC., ADEMA MULTIMEDIA, LLC,  
10 CYBERHEAT, INC., AEBN, INC., LIGHTSPEED  
11 MEDIA CORPORATION, INNOVATIVE IDEAS  
12 INTERNATIONAL, LTD., GAME LINK, INC.,  
13 AND CYBERTREND, INC.

14 DATED: August \_\_\_\_, 2005

15 JONATHAN E. SINGER  
16 WILLIAM R. WOODFORD  
17 FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.  
18 60 South Sixth Street, Suite 3300  
19 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402

20 By \_\_\_\_\_  
21 Jonathan E. Singer

22 Attorneys for Defendants  
23 NEW DESTINY INTERNET GROUP, L.L.C.,  
24 AUDIO COMMUNICATIONS, INC., VS MEDIA,  
25 INC., ADEMA MULTIMEDIA, LLC,  
26 CYBERHEAT, INC., AEBN, INC., LIGHTSPEED  
27 MEDIA CORPORATION, INNOVATIVE IDEAS  
28 INTERNATIONAL, LTD., GAME LINK, INC.,  
AND CYBERTREND, INC.

DATED: August \_\_\_\_, 2005

WILLIAM J. ROBINSON  
VICTOR DE GYARFAS  
FOLEY & LARDNER  
2029 Century Park East, 35<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Los Angeles, California 90067

By \_\_\_\_\_  
Victor de Gyarfas

Attorneys for Defendants  
International Web Innovations, Inc. and  
OFFENDALE COMMERCIAL LIMITED BV

DATED: August \_\_\_\_, 2005

DOUGLAS W. SPRINKLE

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

MARK D. SCHNEIDER  
GIFFORD, KRASS, GROH, SPRINKLE,  
ANDERSON & CITKOWSKI, P.C.  
280 N. Old Woodward Avenue, Suite 400  
Birmingham, Michigan 48009-5394

GREGORY B. WOOD  
FULBRIGHT & JAWORSKI  
865 S. Figueroa Street, 29<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Los Angeles, California 90017-2576

By \_\_\_\_\_  
Mark D. Schneider

Attorneys for Defendant  
ASKCS.COM, INC.

DATED: August \_\_\_, 2005

GARY A. HECKER  
JAMES M. SLOMINSKI  
THE HECKER LAW GROUP  
1925 Century Park East, Suite 2300  
Los Angeles, California 90067

By \_\_\_\_\_  
James M. Slominski

Attorneys for Defendant  
OFFENDALE COMMERCIAL BV, LTD.

DATED: August \_\_\_, 2005

DAVID A. YORK  
LATHAM & WATKINS  
135 Commonwealth Drive  
Menlo Park, California 94025

By \_\_\_\_\_  
David A. York

Attorneys for Defendants  
ICS, Inc. and AP Net Marketing, Inc.

DATED: August \_\_\_, 2005

MITCHELL D. LUKIN  
BAKER BOTTS LLP  
One Shell Plaza  
910 Louisiana  
Houston, Texas 77022

JEFFREY D. SULLIVAN  
MICHAEL J. MCNAMARA  
BAKER BOTTS LLP

30 Rockefeller Plaza  
New York, New York 10112

STEPHEN E. TAYLOR  
TAYLOR & CO. LAW OFFICES, INC.  
One Ferry Building, Suite 355  
San Francisco, California 94111

By \_\_\_\_\_  
Mitchell D. Lukin

Attorneys for Defendant  
MEDIACOM COMMUNICATIONS  
CORPORATION

DATED: August \_\_\_\_, 2005

SEAN DAVID GARRISON  
ROBERT FRANCIS COPPLE  
LEWIS & ROCA LLP  
40 N. Central Avenue  
Phoenix, Arizona 85004-4429

By \_\_\_\_\_  
Sean David Garrison

Attorneys for Defendant  
CABLE AMERICA CORP.

DATED: August \_\_\_\_, 2005

C. MARK KITTREDGE  
PERKINS COIE BROWN & BAIN PA  
P.O. Box 400  
Phoenix, Arizona 85001-0400

By \_\_\_\_\_  
C. Mark Kittredge

Attorneys for Defendants  
CABLE ONE INC.

DATED: August \_\_\_\_, 2005

PATRICK J. WHALEN  
SPENCER FAN BRITT & BROWN LLP  
1000 Walnut Street, Suite 1400  
Kansas City, Missouri 64106

By \_\_\_\_\_  
Patrick J. Whalen

Attorneys for Defendants

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

DATED: August \_\_\_\_, 2005

NPG CABLE INC.

FRITZ BYERS  
520 Madison Avenue  
Toledo, Ohio 43604

By \_\_\_\_\_  
Fritz Byers

Attorneys for Defendants  
BLOCK COMMUNICATIONS, INC.

DATED: August \_\_\_\_, 2005

CHRISTOPHER B. FAGAN  
FAY SHARPE FAGAN MINNICH & MCKEE  
1100 Superior Avenue, Seventh Floor  
Cleveland, Ohio 44114-2518

By \_\_\_\_\_  
Christopher B. Fagan

Attorneys for Defendants  
ARMSTRONG GROUP; EAST CLEVELAND TV  
AND COMMUNICATIONS LLC; MASSILLON  
CABLE TV, INC.; WIDE OPEN WEST, LLC